

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and Bland's untimely objections. As for those portions of the M&R to which Bland made no objection, the court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. As for Bland's untimely objections, they restate the arguments Bland made in his petition. Compare [D.E. 1-1] 2-5 with [D.E. 13]. Moreover, his arguments do not show that 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to address his claims.

Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 9]. Bland's petition [D.E. 1] is DISMISSED, and the court DENIES a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336–38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483–84 (2000). The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This 4 day of August 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge